Water Quality Impro Grant App	ovement Grant Program olication Form
1. Project Title: Upper Verde Collabo	orative Watershed Restoration Project
2. Project Description: This project will River watershed through creation of 1 grazing on 21,000 acres, removal of res reclaiming 5 acres of gullied roadway a hay trampling. The intensive monitoring	reduce sedimentation in the Upper Verde 2 new pastures for better time controlled sprouting juniper trees on 800 acres, and and 25 acres of eroding rangeland through ng report will help educate other ranchers.
3. PROJECT MANAGER (Enter the name, have the day-to-day knowledge of the project and sho	title and contact information of the individual who will uld be contacted if clarification is required):
Name: Norman Lowe, Executive Officer EcoResults! Inc. Address: 114 N. San Francisco, Suite 207 Flagstaff AZ 86001	Telephone number: (928) 527-0661 or 214-0640 Fax number: (928) 213-1971 E-mail address: loweflag@aol.com
 4. PROJECT PERIOD: 0 - 2 Years (Preferred) Greater than 2 Years (Explain in "Scope of Work", Part II "Method of Approach") 	 5. WRAS and/or TMDL (See page 14 for additional information about WRASs & TMDLs) WRAS □ Has Been Completed □ In Development TMDL □ Has Been Completed □ In Development □ Other Watershed Plans? (Describe in "Scope of Work", Part I "Expected Outcomes")
6. Funds Requested: \$55,700 Matching Funds: \$37,560 Total Project Cost: \$93,260	7. Hydrologic Unit Code 15060202 (See Location Map Information, page 24)

prohibited from any public procurement activity?

The undersigned hereby offers and agrees to perform in compliance with all terms, conditions, specifications and scope in this application. Signature certifies understanding and compliance with the application attached hereto. ADEQ may approve grant award with modifications to scope, methodology, schedule, final projects and or budget.

Authorized Signature

Date Nov. 27, 2001

Yes

X

No

Print Name and Title Norman Lowe, Exec. Officer Company/Agency

ma

EcoResults! Inc.

The Grant Application Form must be signed by the individual legally authorized to act on behalf of the Applicant in conducting all official business relating to the project. Signing this form and submitting a Grant Application Package certifies that the Applicant has authority to enter into the agreement, accept funding and fulfill the terms of the proposed project if approved. Applicant is required to read the Grant Agreement referenced here and included in Section IV



Upper Verde Collaborative Watershed Restoration Project



WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT GRANT APPLICATION Deadline: November 28, 2001

To: Susan Ward, Grant Coordinator 3033 North Central Avenue, M0248D Phoenix, Arizona 85012-208

From: EcoResults! 117 N. San Francisco St. Suite 107 Flagstaff AZ 86001

Project Title

Upper Verde Collaborative Watershed Restoration Project

Scope of Work

Part I. Expected Outcomes and Anticipated Reduction of Pollution

1

This grant will help fund local level creative approaches to improve watersheds while building partnerships with federal, state, and local entities. This project will reduce sedimentation in the Upper Verde River, which is the main water pollution problem of the Verde River and downstream reservoirs. The proposed project work is wholly on U.S. Forest Service administered lands. Analysis of the watershed by Forest Service personnel has documented that most increase in water body turbidity has come from the increase in bare ground associated with juniper trees gradually replacing grasslands. Soil erosion induced by the many roads crossing the rangelands also adds to sedimentation. The Upper Verde River Adaptive Management Partnership (UVRAMP) was formed three years ago, through signed Memorandum of Understanding among the Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Experimental Station, and four ranches, to focus on improving resource conservation practices on the Upper Verde River watershed between Paulden, AZ and Clarkdale, AZ. . From two field days in the past month with the Forest Service, UVRAMP team and EcoResults! staff, it is evident that most all the soil erosion on the area is not associated with current grazing practices, but is due to trends started from historic overgrazing and other land uses. It is also evident that removal of livestock grazing will not reverse the trends in sedimentation, as is evidenced by continued juniper invasion, grass cover loss, and gully cutting in areas that have had no livestock on them for several decades.

There is a lack of knowledge of how to effectively restore lands in this area of Arizona as most all past restoration attempts have ended in failure. In recent years many progressive ranchers across the West have been showing how livestock can be used as an effective tool to increase vegetative ground cover and decrease watershed sedimentation. EcoResutls!, a non-profit corporation now

working with many ranchers to quickly restore watershed conditions, is initiating this grant proposal to document the best methods for watershed restoration in north-central Arizona. The Rio Verde and Bar Heart Ranches participating in this project currently focus on time controlled grazing to bunch cattle, as a Best Management Practice, on small pastures to get even distribution of grazing and then rotate pastures to provide long periods of rest for full vegetative regrowth. This time controlled grazing improves ground cover as minerals are cycled more quickly, with about 90% of the grazed organic matter being returned to the soil as fertilizer. The short duration hoof impact of the livestock breaks the soil surface capping to improve plant germination and provide for more effective water and mineral cycling.

A key outcome of this project will be increased vegetative ground cover from improved grazing practices on 21,000 acres of land through increasing the number of pastures on the Del Rio and Heart ranches by about 50%. This will allow better distribution of grazing on each pasture, shorter grazing periods, and longer rest periods to assure full regrowth of forage before being regrazed, even during periods of drought. Experience has shown that this intensity of management will greatly increase grass cover within a few years, resulting in reduced stream sedimentation from runoff events. Improved conditions will be measured by reading monitoring transects on key pastures to note changes in ground cover and species diversity.

A second outcome of this project will be to achieve the maintenance of 800 acres of grassland in the Middle Trails pasture which was developed as grassland by a juniper push about 20 years ago. Many hundreds of small juniper trees will be eliminated so that grasslands and open space will be preserved to benefit livestock and wildlife, and reduce soil loss. Improved conditions will be measured by reading monitoring transects.

A third outcome of this project will be the restoration of grassland on about 2,000 feet of road (5 acre area) in the Middle Trails pasture which has gullied out with incised banks one to five feet deep. Improved conditions will be measured by photo points and reading monitoring transects.

A fourth outcome of this project will be the establishment of grass cover on 25 acres of eroding soils where there currently is no grass cover. Improved conditions will be measured by photo points, reading monitoring transects and doing soil sample analysis.

A fifth outcome of this project will be the scientific study and documentation of the current degraded conditions, innovative methods used to restore land function, and the results of treatment at the end of two years. Public outreach through education workshops will have been conducted to educate many area ranchers, agency personnel and several dozen people from the Prescott and Chino Valley areas on the benefits methods used with this project. The published findings will be used by EcoResults! and UVRAMP to boost the effectiveness of the UVRAMP group, add more participating ranches and agency people to UVRAMP, and involve environmental groups and citizens in future effective watershed stewardship.

Part II. Method of Approach

A key method of approach for this project to achieve effective land restoration is the use of a collaborative process. It is essential that a collaborative team be involved in this project because of the many stakeholders controlling this watershed area. The UVRAMP team has been focal in the development of this proposal and will remain active throughout project implementation. This project is being administered by EcoResults!, in consultation with Quivira Coalition, to assure a balanced approach is used with good scientific procedure.

The method to be used to improve ground cover and mineral cycling on 21,000 acres of the Del Rio and Heart Ranches will be to create twelve new pastures (map items numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5) to better concentrate cattle and control timing of grazing. Twenty-nine miles of electric fence will be installed using mostly labor contracted by the rancher. Two water lots will be fenced; one where new fences come together at Page Well on the Drake and Page pastures, and one where fences come together at Betty's Tank on Middle Trails pasture. After completion of fencing, the rancher and Forest Service will modify the Annual Operating Plan to shorten grazing periods and lengthen rest periods. Archaeological clearance was obtained on all but two miles of this fence, with an agreement with the Arizona State Historical Preservation Office (SHPO) to avoid the two cultural sites found. Fencing maps and clearance documentation are attached. Because of their policy of confidentiality, the Prescott National Forest did not authorize issuance of a copy of the full clearance report, however, SHPO has copies of the reports at their Phoenix office. Two miles of fencing in the Rattlesnake pasture will be surveyed for cultural clearance during the first year of the project.

The method to be used to maintain eight hundred acres of grassland in the Middle Trails pasture, enhanced by a juniper push about 20 years ago, will be the rancher eliminating many hundreds of small juniper trees, one to 15 feet tall, that have sprouted since initial treatment (map item 6). The rancher will rent a hydro-axe machine and use personal chain saws to cut new trees at their bases to lay them over. The Forest Service's District Ranger has determined this work to be normal rancher maintenance of a past project with no cultural clearance required.

The method to be used to restore about 2,000 feet (5 acres of area) of roadbed to grassland in the Middle Trails pasture (map item 7), which has gullied out with incised banks one to five feet deep, will be the ranchers and volunteers using rock riffles and cattle hay stomping. About 20 cubic yards of rock will be used to build riffles by stacking low rows of rock in strategic locations. Rocky Mountain Experimental Station staff will direct project design. Hay, seed, and cattle stomping will then be used to round over the cut banks and establish grass. Native seed mix approved by the Forest Service will be spread over the site at ten pounds per acre. Blue grama, galleta and stipa will be key grass species seeded. A bacterial innoculant will be mixed, using a base of soil from a healthy grassland site on the ranch, and sprayed over the treatment area. Two tons of hay per acre will be spread over the site. Two hundred head of cattle will be herded on the site for two days. Cattle will eat about half of the hay (with about 90% returned to the soil as manure) and half will be stomped into the soil surface. The Forest Service's District Ranger has

determined this work to be normal rancher maintenance of existing roads with no cultural clearance required.

15

The method to be used to stabilize twenty-five acres of eroding soils (juniper barrens) will be the hay stomp method described above. On the October 2001 UVRAMP tours with the Forest Service and Rocky Mountain Experiment Station, large areas of the ranches were noted to no longer have grass plants on them where juniper trees have invaded. Many of the areas are rarely grazed. It is apparent that soil micro-biology has changed to favor woody species. Based on the experience of Tony Tipton in juniper areas of central Nevada, grass can be successfully reintroduced on such areas by using seed, bacterial innoculant, and hay stomping. The Tiptons will be consulted in the design and implementation of the hay stomp method used here. One grass plant established per square foot within two years will be the measure of success, and Best Management Practices of time controlled grazing will be used to retain and expand the area of increased ground cover. The success of the New Mexico based Quivira Coalition (who have implemented several EPA 319 grants) and their New Ranch program will also be considered in project implementation. The Quivira Coalition will be hired to conduct at least two workshops on grazing and land restoration techniques. Fifteen acres will be treated with hay stomping south of the Verde River (map item 8), where there is currently no grass cover (see photo). Ten acres of eroding soil will be treated on Dr. George Yard's neighboring ranch on the Red Flat Tank drainage (map item 9) where the approximately 560 acre watershed has a deeply cut gully though the length of the bottom meadow area (see photo). The ten acre seed and hay stomp that is part of the present proposal will not attempt to heal the deep gully but rather to demonstrate the effect of cattle on healing small side rills and gullies up to three feed deep and in stabilizing soils by covering them with grass plants. The large gully will be studied for a future intensive erosion control project to be designed by the Forest Service Rocky Mountain Experimental Station. The Forest Service's District Ranger has determined the currently proposed total of 25 acres of hay stomp to be normal rancher maintenance of forage and soils on permitted grazing areas, with no cultural clearance required.

Methods used for obtaining scientific documentation of watershed conditions and project effects will be study methods currently used by federal agencies. Al Medina, Watershed Restoration Specialist with the Forest Service Rocky Mountain Experimental Station in Flagstaff is part of the UVRAMP team and has agreed to check methods used and to conduct water quality studies on the Verde River and key side watersheds as a part of this project. Soil samples will be taken by EcoResults! before and after treatment on all sites for thorough laboratory analysis of organic matter and microbial content. Photos will be taken at established photo points to supplement a full write-up of project progress a year following treatment. Education workshops will be conducted to educate several dozen people from the Prescott and Chino Valley areas of the benefits of this project. The published findings will be used by EcoResults! and UVRAMP to involve other ranchers, agency staff, and citizens in future effective watershed stewardship.

Part III - Schedule of Project Milestones

-0.1

Milestones	Deliverables	Completin	Cost
Task #1: Execute contract with ADEQ	Signed contract	03/02	\$0
Task #2: Obtain permits, authorizations, clearnaces for work in action plan.	Permit documentation	05/02	\$500
Task #3: Tiptons brought in to consult on implementation of hay stomp areas.	Finished plan including consultant input	05/01	\$1,000
Task #4: Education workshop on methods conducted by Quivira Coalition	Workshop summary notes (20+ people attend)	05/02	\$1,500
Task #5: Submit quarterly report to ADEQ project manager	1st quarterly report	06/02	\$250
Task #6: Conduct pre-treatment monitoring, including FS Rocky Mtn Res Stn and soil testing.	Study data forms and photos	06/02	\$2,000
Task #7: Purchase hay and seed for 30 acres of treatment	Invoice for 60 tons of hay, 300 pounds of seed	06/02	\$11,400
Task #8: Rock riffles installed in 2,000 ft. of eroded roadway with design assistance by FS Rocky Mtn Exp Stn consultation on road restoration	Reporting of work done	06/02	\$1,200
Task #9: Do seed & hay stomp eroded road area, south of river, and Red FlatTank	Reporting & photos on 30 acres of bare land treated with seed and hay	07/03	\$13,060
Task #10: Submit quarterly report to ADEQ project manager	2nd quarterly report	09/02	\$250
Task #11: Obtain archaeological clearance on 2 miles of fence in Rattlesnake pasture.	FS and SHPO clearance record	10/02	\$0
Task #12: Rancher cuts new trees on 800 acre Betty's Push	Reporting and photos Machine rental invoice	11/02	\$4,200
Task #13: Construct 29 miles of electric fencing	Invoices for materials and labor	12/02	\$49,000

Task #14 Do 1st year post treatment soil monitoring.	Study data forms, photos and assessment report	12/02	\$2,000
Task #15: Submit quarterly report to ADEQ project manager	3rd quarterly report	12/02	\$250
Task #16: Submit quarterly report to ADEQ project manager	4th quarterly report on observations	03/03	\$250
Task #17: Submit quarterly report to ADEQ project manager	5th quarterly report on observations	06/03	\$250
Task #19: Submit quarterly report to ADEQ project manager	6th quarterly report on observations	09/03	\$250
Task #20: Do 2nd year post treatment soil monitoring and range study reading.	Study sheets, photos and assessment summary	11/03	\$1,500
Task #21: Education workshop on monitoring & project assessment conducted by Quivira Coalition	Workshop summary notes (30+ people attend workshop on land)	11/03	\$1,500
Task #22: Write and publish brochure on project treatments & disseminate it	Copies of published brochure	12/03	\$1,000
Task #23: Project completed by Jan. 31, 2004. Submit final report to ADEQ project manager	Final (7th) report Project published on Ecoresults.org website	1/04	\$250

Part V - Key Personnel

1. Personnel receiving pay from these grant funds:

Norm Lowe is Project Manager. Norm is the Executive Officer and co-founder of EcoResults!, Inc.[Federal Tax ID# 86-1008738]. Norm received a BS in Range Management from the University of Arizona in 1978 and is a Certified Professional in Range Management with the Society of Range Management. He has worked as a Range Conservationist for the Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, Bureau of Indian Affairs, and as Range Program Supervisor for the Office of Navajo and Hopi Indian Relocation for 12 years before retiring in 2001.

Dan Dagget is the primary writer and workshop coordinator for the educational outreach portion of this project. Dan is an environmental writer and co-founder of EcoResults!

Gail Lowe is the project accountant. Gail has her own CPA practice in Flagstaff and is one of the Directors of EcoResults!, Inc.

Courtney White is responsible for coordination of Quivira Coalition involvement in the project. Courtney lives in Santa Fe, New Mexico and is the Director of the Quivira Coalition. He will arrange project workshops to include presenters who have done effective grazing management and watershed restoration work in New Mexico.

Key participants not receiving any pay from these grant funds:

Dave Gipe is the rancher primarily responsible for directing project work on the Del Rio and Bar Heart ranches. Dave is a progressive manager and has run these ranchers for over 15 years from his headquarters on the north bank of the Verde River. He is the current chairman of UVRAMP. His son-in-law Don Verner will assist in directing the restoration work.

Anne Verner is responsible for educational outreach to the local community. Anne lives on the Bar Heart Ranch and has already conducted many field days on the ranch with over 200 local school students and with environmental groups. She will involve students with the current project as volunteers and observers, and host the proposed training workshops.

George Yard is the rancher responsible for directing project work on the 10 acre Red Tank Flat portion of the project. Dr. Yard is a progressive rancher and has run his ranch for about ten years.

Al Medina is the primary person responsible for watershed restoration project design and conducting watershed sedimentation analysis studies. Dr. Medina works for the Forest Service Rocky Mountain Experimentation Station, located in Flagstaff on the NAU campus, as a watershed rehabilitation specialist.

Mark Johnson is responsible for Forest Service coordination of project elements. Mr. Johnson is the District Ranger for the Chino Valley Ranger District of the Prescott National Forest and has been active in the formation of the UVRAMP team. He will coordinate with Forest Service staff to assure archaeological, wildlife, watershed, recreation, and range concerns are integrated into project implementation.

Project Pricing Schedule

dina a

PROJECT PRICING SCHEDULE	Funds Requested	Matchin	g Funds**	Requested + Match
	ADEQ	Applicant Match	Donated Match	TOTAL
ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS				
Administration, bookkeeping, office space, phone use, travel	\$5,000	0	0	\$5,000
DIRECT COSTS		1		
Feed truck & fuel @ \$50/dy x 10dys	0	0	\$500	\$500
Water truck - 3000gal@\$12/dy x 10dys	\$600	0	\$600	\$1,200
Water tank & trough @\$20/dy x 10dys	Ò	0	\$200	\$200
Chain saws @45/hr x 40hrs	0	0	\$200	\$200
Hydro-axe rental @\$100/dy x 20dys	\$2,000	0	0	\$2,000
Cattle depreciation- 200head @\$50ea*	0	0	\$10,000	\$10,000
60 tons weed & chemical free hay @\$130/non	\$7,800	0	0	\$7,800
Native seed mix @\$120/ac x 30ac	\$3,600	0	0	\$3,600
Bacterial innoculuant ingredient mix	\$200	0	0	\$200
12 inch rock for road rehab. 20cu.yd	\$600	0	0	\$600
Electric fence material-29mi@\$600/mi	\$10,440	0	\$6,960	\$17,400
Fence material for 2 water lots	\$2,100	0	\$1,400	\$3,500
Monitoring- 10 sites, 3 replicate soil & veg. studies, weather & water quality measure @ave. \$700/site (excludes agency time)	\$4,000	\$1,500	\$1,500	\$7,000
Education presentations & materials. Quivira Coalition workshops	\$2,500	\$250	\$250	\$3,000

PERSONNEL				
Feeding hay- 2men x 4hr x10d x \$12/hr	0	0	\$960	\$960
Cutting juniper regrowth- 1man x 20d @ \$100/d	0	0	\$2,000	\$2,000
Installing 29 miles fencing	\$15,660	0	\$10,440	\$26,100
Installing fencing on 2 water lots	\$1,200	0	\$800	\$2,000
TOTALS	\$55,700	\$1,750	\$35,810	\$93,260
Percentages	59.7%	1	40.3%	100%

20 1

* Depreciation of cattle used as a treatment tool [as allowed under other grants EcoResults! has worked with - ie. NFWF grant in Nevada].

** See two attached letters committing items in these columns: one from UVRAMP for \$35,800 and one from EcoResults! for \$1,750 for a total of \$37,560 or 40.3% cost match.

EcoResults! Institute 114 N. San Francisco St. Suite 204 Flagstaff, AZ 86001 (928) 527-0661

August 20, 2005

Rebecca Followill Water Quality Improvement Grant Unit Arizona Department of Environmental Quality 1110 W. Washington St. Phoenix, AZ 85007

FINAL REPORT

Upper Verde Collaborative Watershed Restoration Project - #4-012, Grant ID# EV02-0047 Grant period – March 2002 through June 2005

SUMMARY OF PROJECT GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The overarching goal of this project was to reduce sedimentation/non-point pollution, the main pollution problem in the Verde River and its downstream reservoirs. This project was designed to incorporate a number of innovative techniques so area land management stakeholders could learn first hand about the effectiveness of several state-of-the-art techniques. The project was designed by EcoResults Institute; the Upper Verde River Adaptive Management Partnership (UVRAMP) comprised of ranchers, local citizens and agency personnel in the area; and the Quivira Coalition, a not-for-profit environmental group based in Sante Fe, New Mexico.

Following is a summary of the objectives of the six innovative restoration techniques used on the Rio Verde, Bar Heart, and Y Bar D ranches in the upper Verde River watershed:

1. COLLABORATION

To note the effectiveness of UVRAMP as a collaborative group of stakeholders in effecting enhanced watershed conditions and sustained management. Bi-annual UVRAMP group meetings, special workshops, land tours and network discussions should provide a means for diverse stakeholders to (Forest Service, AZ Game and Fish, area ranchers, schools, interessed publics) to observe, learn, plan, monitor and manage for the comprehensive health of the land.

2. EDUCATIONAL PRESENTATIONS

To enhance watershed restoration and management through holding workshops to include stakeholders and area residents and to be led by leading experts in land restoration and management.

3. CREATING ADDIONAL PASTURES AND WATER LOTS

To increase vegetative health and ground cover on the 72,000 acre Rio Verde and Bar Heart Ranches by creating 12 new pastures and two water lots. This is to provide for improved forage utilization patterns and longer recovery periods for plants which will effect greater ground cover and improved soil stability.

4. SEEDING AND HAY STOMP REVEGETATION

To test the effectiveness of using cattle as a tool to plant seeds and incorporate mulch and fertilizer into the soil to reestablish grass cover on 25 acres of test plots on eroding soils on the Rio Verde and Y Bar D ranches.

5. ROCK RIFFLE AND HAY STOMP GULLY HEALING

To restore ecosystem function to a desertified meadow by healing about 2,000 feet of road that has severely eroded creating a gulley that lowered the water table on a meadow on the Rio Verde Ranch.

6. REMOVAL OF REINVADING JUNIPER

To restore open grassland conditions to an 800 acre area known as Betty's Push where juniper trees were re-invading after tree removal about 20 years ago. This will increase grass cover from reduced competition with re-invading juniper.

• All meetings and on-the-ground project work was completed by June 30, 2005. The production of a summary brochure to distribute to stakeholders has taken some additional time. The *attached* Brochure provides a summary of this project goals and accomplishments.

NOTE: At the request of both ADEQ and the ranches, we submit this brochure for review before final copies are printed and distributed to participants and the public. This is to assure all facts are correct and best presented so that the UVRAMP team can use the brochure in taking further steps to implement lessons learned in their continuing efforts at watershed improvement.

PROJECT RESULTS

All items of the project proposal were implemented. Project work began in the spring of 2002 and was to be completed by the end of 2004. A six month extension to June 30, 2005 was granted to accommodate a request by the ranchers who needed more time to build the 29 miles of pasture fencing during a time of severe drought that reduced their livestock and capital resources.

1. COLLABORATION

Several planning meetings and tours with UVRAMP were held in preparation for this grant and UVRAMP held strategic team meetings every fall and spring for the three years of the project. Members actively participated in helping with the hay stomp treatments and rock riffle building.

2. EDUCATIONAL PRESENTATIONS

 EcoResults Executive Officer Norm Lowe gave a presentation at Heritage Middle School in Chino Valley in the spring of 2002 showing slides of restoration projects and explaining this ADEQ project. Some teachers and students had been involved in past UVRAM field tours, and this updated them on progress.

• May 18, 2002 workshop at Rio Verde Ranch – Writer Dan Dagget gave a slide show presentation on "Restoring the West"; Writer Nathan Sayer of the Quivira Coalition discussed his new book "The New Ranch"; Researcher Dr. Al Medina of the USFS Rocky Mountain Research Station explained riparian ecosystems and conducted a walk on the Verde River. Bryan Gangwich of ADEQ attended the sixhour workshop.

• June 6, 2003 Rangeland consultant Kirk Gazia of the Quivira Coalition hosted a day-long workshop with members of UVRAM at the Drake Exclosure to discuss principles of rangeland health.

• June 14, 2005 a public education workshop was held at the Rio Verde headquarters where Norm Lowe explained final monitoring results from this project; Dan Dagget shared restoration stories from his new book "Gardeners of Eden"; Courtney White, director of the Quivira Coalition, spoke about land restoration efforts in New Mexico (and offered grant assistance) and; Dr. Al Medina hosted a tour of the meadow restoration site and reviewed changes on the river bottom areas of the Verde River.

3. CREATING ADDIONAL PASTURES AND WATER LOTS

All 29 miles of pasture fence the two water lots programmed in the project were installed by members of the Rio Verde and Bar Heart ranches.

• Final archeological clearance was received in December 2002 and work commenced with the building of two corrals around waters to serve as watering points for multiple pastures. A total of 13 pastures were created instead of the planned 12 pastures. Refer to *Attachment A* for an "As-built" map of fencing.

• Fencing of these water lots and pastures accounted for 53% of the project and, compared to the other parts of this project, will by far return the greatest benefit in the long term.

• This fencing has increase the number of ranch pastures by about 30% on the 72,000 acre Rio Verde and Bar Heart Ranches. This additional flexibility meant that the Bar Heart Ranch was totally rested from grazing for most of the past year (which was very dry), because all cattle could graze with short duration stays on the Rio Verde ranch. Also forage is now being more evenly grazed over the ranches as the new pastures change accessibility to water.

4. SEEDING AND HAY STOMP REVEGETATION

A total of 25 acres of poor condition rangeland were treated at #1 a 15 acre site a mile south of Rio Verde Ranch headquarters, #2 a 5 acre site two miles north of Rio Verde Ranch headquarters at the Middle Trails gully healing site, and #3 a 5 acre site four miles north of the Y BarD ranch headquarters at Perkinsville, Arizona.

 Rancher/consultant Jerry Tipton from Mina, Nevada assisted in the project design and helped direct work in the field. Jerry and her Husband Tony have done many well documented successful hay stomp projects on mines and rangelands in Nevada.

• 298 pounds of a seed mix approved by the Forest Service were broadcast on the sites prior to the time hay was put down for treatment. The seed mix consisted of: western wheatgrass, Indian ricegrass, squirrel tail, sand dropseed, green needlegrass, sideoats grama, blue grama, fourwing saltbrush, winterfat, Mexican cliffrose, alfalfa, and sainfoin.

• Hay stomp treatment involved dropping hay bales at about 33 foot intervals and then breaking them apart by hand to spread flakes evenly over the area at two tons per acre.

• Monitoring studies: two transects were installed at site #1, one at site #2 and one at site #3. At each study site a 3 ft X 3 ft photo plot was installed, photos taken and a detailed sketch made of plants. At

each site three 170 foot tape lines were permanently staked and read with a 40cm X 40cm frame at every five foot mark. At each site the Forest Service pace-frequency method was read. Five separate readings of all studies were done: May 23, 2002 (before treatments), May 30, 2003, October 15, 2003, June 2, 2004, and June 5, 2005.

• A total of 198 pages of data/photos/summary were created during this project. See ATTACHEMENT B for a sample of one site's data taken June 2004, and data summaries of all study sites. Dr. Al Medina assisted in study design and the USFS pace frequency method was also applied. Dr. Medina also did independent studies on the Y Bar D ranch Red Tank Flat study site, as well as river monitoring. Monthly rain gauge data was collected from all ranches for the three year study period. Study results are as follows:

SITE #1 (South of River):

• On June 4-9, 2002 ranchers and volunteers spread 30 tons of hay on 15 acres and about 200 head of cattle followed the hay trailer eating and stomping the hay over the two day period. Results:

• The two 3ft X 3ft photo plots show 60% and 90% loss of grasses. There was no change in ground cover and litter cover increased about 50% (11% before to 19% 3 years after), mainly due to heavy annual plant growth in early 2005. The average distance to plants improved 22% (14% before to 11% 3 years after). Plant density improved, doubling from 0.7 to 1.5 plants per square foot. Grass frequency was much lower at the end of the study. The total impact of the site the first year had the effect of refreshing growth on all plants to give them normal form and a younger age mix.

SITE #2 (Middle Trails meadow):

• On June 4, 2002 Rock work was done by ranchers and volunteers on 5 acres. On June 11-13th hay was spread and about 200 head of cattle graze/impacted the area. Results:

• The 3ft X 3ft photo plot shows a loss of 60% of perennial plants. Ground cover and litter cover doubled (26% before to 59% 3 years after), mainly due to heavy annual plant growth in early 2005. Average distance to plants stayed essentially the same. Plant size increased 7%. Grass frequency stayed the same. Plant density deceased by 13%. There is now a younger age mix of plants.

SITE #3 (Red Tank Flat):

• On June 27-30, 2002 ranchers and volunteers spread 10 tons of hay on 5 acres and 134 head of cattle grazed/impacted the area. Under the direction of Dr. Medina some rock riffle dams were installed in gullies on a third of the area before the hay stomp treatment. Results:

 \circ The 3ft X 3ft photo plot shows a loss of all 61 perennial grass plants. The average distance to plants is 42% greater (from 5.7 inches to 9.8 inches 3 years after). Shrubs and weeds are noticeably larger. There is a much lower frequency of grass – much of the blue grama and sideoats grama was lost. There is now a younger age mix.

MEANING OF MONITORING DATA

• According to the ranchers, the June 2002 hay stomps were conducted during a harsh drought (only 0.55 inches of precipitation fell over the previous six months) and the this was the worst timing for treatment that rancher Dave Gipe has seen in his 22 years on the Rio Verde Ranch!

 \circ Because of the drought, the cattle were so hungry they ate most of the hay, not leaving the planned for 50% residual for mulch, which would aid germination.

• At the Red Tank site three inches of rain fell in a cloudburst 6 weeks after treatment, washing away most of the seeds and mulch.

• The ground was so hard at the sites that the cattle hooves did not disturb the surface to the depth expected, so most seeds and much easily blew or washed away.

• Several professions at the final UVRAMP workshop mentioned that seedings almost never succeed in this area and it can take up to 7 years to get results.

5. ROCK RIFFLE AND HAY STOMP GULLY HEALING

Over 1,000 feet of road that had severely eroded out creating a gulley was treated by installing rock riffle dams.

• On June 4, 2002 a dump truck load of 6 inch rock was delivered to the Middle Trails pasture "road eraser" site. Dr. Al Medina directed a backhoe and a dozen volunteers in correct placement of the rock to make about 20 riffle dams (low contoured dams to create water friction and dropping of sediment) in the erosion channel that averaged 4 feet deep. On June 11-13th the hay stomp treatment was done on the 5 acres of meadow watershed on both sides of the gully.

Results

• See Site #2 data above (Item 4.) for hay stomp results.

• The check dams filled up nicely. Another layering of rocks would fill the old road scar (gully) to the top within a couple of years. It is evident from the way the dams are filling that the sediment filling the dams is coming from above the meadow and not from the meadow itself.

• The rock riffle dams are clearly a cost effective method of healing gullies. Installed correctly (tied into soil gravel bars and aligned head to toe) they fill quickly, will not blow out, and can be built up over time to completely fill the gully and restore meadow water table function.

6. REMOVAL OF REINVADING JUNIPER

By Forest Service measurement, the Betty's Push area treatment area is 470 acres in size.
On July 9, 2003, following a lengthy NEPA clearance process, work began with an Agra-axe tree nipping machine (owned by the Forest Service) on the Betty's Push site. Most all re-invading trees were cut down and piled or moved off of the site.

Results:

• Because the ranchers now had the use of the machine they also treated other areas on the west boundary of the Rio Verde Ranch. A total of 880 acres were cleared in 2002 and 1,400 acres in 2003 for a total of 2,280 acres.

• Checks done this spring showed the grass responding very well, removal of the trees providing more water to grasses.

• The ranchers believe that the removal of trees by the Agra-axe is the best and most cost-effective treatment they can do to improve rangeland ground cover, thereby reducing sedimentation to the river system.

ASPECTS OF THE PROJECT THAT WORKED WELL

• The UVRAMP collaborative group was very effective in getting land owners (private, federal, and state) together to discuss, plan and monitor projects.

• Creating new pastures greatly enhanced the effectiveness of livestock rotation, thereby enabling the ranchers to use the cattle herds as a tool to briefly impact sites to stimulate the vegetation then allowing long periods of rest for full regrowth of plants.

• The rock riffle dams worked very well, and are a low cost and low technology way to quickly fill gullies and agrade local watershed areas.

• The Agra-axe is an economical way to cut invading trees off at ground level and quickly create open areas of grassland that provide forage while stabilizing soils.

THINGS THAT DID NOT WORK WELL

• The hay stomp seeding treatments showed little beneficial effect. It was concluded that 1) when done in this area there should be confidence that climate is not in a dry cycle, and 2) that the soil needs some tilling with a tooth harrow to assure the hard ground is broken, creating needed micro-sites for seed, mulch and manure fertilizer accumulation and moisture capture.

PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT AND COORDINATION

• About 50 people were involved throughout this project. The UVRAMP group includes over 20 people, other ranchers were involved, and interested people from other agencies and the region participated at times. The UVRAMP group provided the public participation coordination.

FUTURE ACTIVITY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE WATERSHED

• The UVRAMP collaborative group will continue to meet at least twice per year and take on new land treatment projects.

 The Forest Service needs to continue to work cooperatively with the ranchers in doing more Agraaxe clearing work.

• Work should continue with the Rocky Mountain Forest Research Station on treatments and research to document the most effective treatments for reducing river sedimentation and enhancing T and E species habitat.

EcoResults wishes to thank the ADEQ for funding assistance for this project. Please contact us with any further questions, and <u>please review the attaché brochure</u> and provide us with any edit comments you may have. We plan to copy and distribute the brochure with the help of the UVRAMP team starting is September.

Signed,

Norman Lowe Executive Officer

ATTACHMENT A - AS BUILT MAP OF FENCING

•• _ 0 = 0



ATTACHEMENT B - DATA SUMMARIES AND PHOTOS OF MONITORING STUDIES

Code Attribute Date:	5-2	3-02	5-2	03	10-1	5-03	6.	2-44	6-1	1-05	_
A Bare Ground - Recent Cup (<1yr)	61	5 79.5	L	12 155	5	5 78	6	8 167	3	4 294	
B Bare Ground - Mature Cap	C)		0		6	-	0		o l	
Q Bare Ground Sam A + B	60	5	4	12	1	55	6	8	3	4	
C Rock greater than 1/4 inch	2	Ç		8		6		5		1	
D Litter cover	26	/13	4	8 1405		3 127	- A	8 26	5	9 11	
E Canopy below 8 ft anything Sel	E			2	1	3		8	1	6	
II Distance, Ave of all plants G	107	25	12	7	1	2.71	9	,15	11.4	8	
Ave Distance w/o seedlings G-IL	10.	55	10	.8	1	3.18	9	07	11.3	20	
1 Diameter, Ave of all plants G	1.	82	1	16	-	1.03	1:	21	2.0	7	
S Cover Ratio 1 ÷ 11	1	169		091	1	081		187	+1	80	
G % Composition, Nearest X / Freq Y	1000					T		1		1 1	
tobosa	71	50 10.5	64	41/54	61	44/47	49	24/54,1	48	35/415	1.1
Blue anomen	19	27/5	.5	2/9	3	2/3	4	4/11	5	6/95	
Stole patramance	5	3/2	2	11/1	7	6/6	11	9/55	5	915	
Sacimetail	1	1-4-1	6	610	-			-	-		
Vine Messache		1			5	9/1.5	6	10/2	15	11/2	
Tale be Mallare			9	4/1	Y	2/9	12	12/120	6	\$ 17.5	
Snakewed	2	2/25	3	1120	4	13 /20	5	15/2	++	1 /3.5	
Tuhines		7/30		4/3		5/13	-	4/10.5	1900	2.15	
J Seedling	0	2		5		5	1. 1	1	-1	12.14	
K Young plant	l	8	7	7		54	6	1	2.	1	
L. Mature plant	-	13	5	1		35	2	-	7	5	
M Decadent plant	1	1	-	2		1	1	2		0	
N Overstressed plant	1	3		0			1				
O Normal plant form	7	5	6	1E		94	G	0	Les	i)	
P. Overrested plant	11	2	-	-		0		1	U		
V Cool season plant total.						-					
W Warm senson plant fotal									-		
ipitation - past 4months/ 12 months	- 51-	18.85	5 . 5	1111	E/	174 22	210	14.15	120	122415	
nal Months Use - past 6 months/ 12mas			4.4.1	au	2.0	1 unz	742	- Mart	140	×2.13	
verical rating from Z score above AH /Cal	2	18	- 1	17	1.	1	2	21	1	6.5	
arent Trend - Up, Down, Stable		ave	- 1	10	te	10		21	1.	-1	
				4			7		1	1	

DATA SUMMARY FOR MONITORING TRANSECT ER-2 : Hay Stomp at Middle Trails

DATA SUMMARY FOR MONITORING TRANSECT ER-3 : Hay Stomp South of River - West

Code Attribute Date:	5.2	9-02	5-7	0-03	10-1	1-03	6-7	1.04	6-1	1-05	
A Bare Ground - Recent Cap (<lyr)< td=""><td>Bo</td><td>1 73.5</td><td>7</td><td>114.5</td><td>2</td><td>8 1685</td><td>. 76</td><td>172,5</td><td>74</td><td>705</td><td></td></lyr)<>	Bo	1 73.5	7	114.5	2	8 1685	. 76	172,5	74	705	
B Bare Ground - Mature Cap	6	8	C)	6	>	C)	U		
Q Bare Ground Sum A + B	810	2	71	(T-1000)	20	,	76		74		
C Rock greater than 1/4 inch	1	5	3	1200 C		3		2	H		
D Litter cover	Ĩ	1 /15	21	125.5	15	3 /30	18	126.5	19	25.0	_
E Canopy below 8 ft anything 3 -		3	C	>	1		-	F	3		
H Distance, Ave of all plants G	11.	34	101	56	9.	37	B.	69	Bist	9	
Ave Distance w/o seedlings G-II	11.	74	12,	34	10	36	3	.23	9.5	1.1	
Diameter, Ave of all plants G	1.	96	1.	25	1	85	1.	61	1.2	8	
S Cover Ratio 1 + H		173	.0	95		91	. 1	85	.14	19	
G % Composition, Nearest X / Freq Y					1						
Blue Thansa	21-	24/135	31	34/205	12	11/7	10	9 18	3	8 14.5	
A. Same	16	13/7	15	13/9	33	37 /63	26	36/12	21	33/125	
Thesemen	25	16/13	5	2/2	1	3/7	4	2/25	3	22	
HACIOSIAN			н	9/15	14	22 /15	13	13/7	G	16 115	
C-Glowing I a wi			12	6/14	R	7 185	14	16 12	5	310	
Santa use of	5	9/45	24	b3/AS	14	12.10.5	17	03/45	25	HIBIS	
Tunina	2	47045	2	1/245		# 130	11	4/23	-	4	
J Seedling		()	-	2	1	5	1	1	1000	20	
K Young plant	1	2	1	14	5	2	- 1	-7		2	
L. Mature plant		1	2	1		6	3	13	-	AC	
M Decadent plant			2	8		1)	-	2		2	
N Overstressed plant		2		D		2		5		3	
O Normal plant form	10	0	1	17	- 6	1	14	202	1	511	
P Overrested plant)		0	0		-	0	-	5	
V Cool season plant total											
W Warm season plant total											
ipitation - past 4months/ 12 months	.55	19.99	5.25	111-1	51	111 37	12 Cm	10.75	12.9	12:340	
and Months Use - past 6 months/ (2mos		1001	114.)	11-1	20	191.42	2.215	11.1.2	- arro	2 4 1	
terical rating from Z score above of 20		20	1	20	1.1	6	0.6	21	L	477	
arent Trend - Up, Down, Stable	-		1		1.1	42	0.	10			
and seen a bread of some				T					1	19	

DATA SUMMARY FOR MONITORING TRANSECT ER-4 : Hay Stomp South of River - East

Code Attribute Date:	5-1	29-02	5-	30.03	10-	14-03	6-2	-04	6=4	1-05		
A Bare Ground - Recent Cap (<1yr)	6	2	8	1	9	2-	R	2	7	3		_
B Bare Ground - Mature Cap	4	2	1	5	0	د	0					_
Q Bare Ground Sum A + B	8	2	8	4	9	2	8	7	2	8	_	
C Rock greater than 1/4 inch		4		1	1		1					
D Litter cover	1	2	1	4		1	9		21			
E Canopy below 8 ft anything Basel	1	2	1.000	1	0		3	1000	d			
H Distance, Ave of all plants G	16	61	10.	05	12.	43	12.6	7	13.3	34		
Ave Distance w/o seedlings G-ff	16	.61	2	54	12	43	12.0	7	13.5	51		
Dinmeter, Ave of all plants G	1.	\$9	7	131		12	2, -	32	1.8	2		_
S Cover Ratio 1 ÷ 11		698	-	22	+0	74	118	3	.13	6		-
G % Composition, Nearest X / Freq Y			1						1.1.1.1			
Blow sugar	11	7	18	17	6	6	8	12	5	7	1	1
Thick auth	34	8	5	5	Z	3	0	1	5	2		1
Sile pate avanne	5	0	0	0	10	R	10	10	7	3	_	1
King Mulity	23	11	11	4	q	1.6	7	6	2	2		11
Hell unquite sta	0	0	13	12	14	13	12	13	14	13		
alle di 11. 11. w	1	1	22	11	26	11	26	11	74	8		
Snale surced	11	4	7	11	13	15	20	16	22	12		
THULLE	11	9	1	10	1	115	1	A	0	10		1
J Scetting	1	2	3	2		0	1		7		-	-
K Young plant	0)	C C	4	5	3	47	}	61	4		
L. Mature plant	9	5	1.000	7	1 it	5	51		3			
M Decaslent plant	1	5	1	1			0		1		-	
N Overstressed plant		1	1	0	6		0	2	0			
O Normal plant form	0	7	6	14	BI		100	>	10	0		
P Overrested plant		3		U	6	>	0		d			-
V Cool season plant total				100								-
WWnrm season plant total			1 mar -			-		_				-
ipitation - past 4months/ 12 months	150	8.89	5.25	11.1	5.1	14.27	205	11.15	12.8	2845		
nal Months Use - past 6 months/ 12mos		1 march	The	- mile	100	1 million	- All	- and	10.0	-		
erical rating from Z score above a H/n	12	7/	1	9E		95	F	3	6	3		-
arent Trend - Up, Down, Stable		10				1.4.			10			

DATA SUMMARY FOR MONITORING TRANSECT ER-5 : Hay Stomp Red Tank Flat

Code Attribute Date	: 6-0	5-02	5-2	9-03	10-1	4-03	6-1-	04	6-5	-05		
A Bare Ground - Recent Can (<1yr)	6	3	49		6	6	58	20.5	49	165	_	
A Bare Ground - Mature Cap	0)	0		6	2	0		0	1		
O Bare Gronad Sum A + B	6	3	49	1	6	6	58		49			
C Rock greater than 1/4 inch	1	7	6	1		+	9	_				
D Litter cover	2	3	45	3	2	8	30	1175	45	1 /29.5		
E Canopy below 8 ft anything	1 2	1		3		4	2	-	1			-
H Distance, Ave of all plants G	5.	66	164	16	13	.54	9.3	30	9.8	12		_
Ave Distance w/o seedlings G-II	5.	66	15.	25	13	. 49	9.	13	9	94		
Diameter, Aye of all plants G	1.	90	1.	37	1.	46	2.	37	3,	19		_
S Cover Ratio 1 + 11	1	34		0	1	iu I		16	13	12		
G 1% Composition, Nearest X / Freq Y	1			1 million (1997)		100000			12.2		-	-
Des alarses	17	17/18	0	11/5	4	0/4	3	1 /2:5	: 1_	0/1.2		-
Talesse	3	5/3	26	14/21	11	15/0	1-	1/3.5	5	8/10		-
Threesure	4	11/6.5	S	5/12	S	316	1	3/2.5	3	4/3		2
1 Sim alle blas	17	8/18	7	5/9.6	3	1/3	3	1/3.5	3	1 45		10 million -
C. Level. alluna	32	50,41	Ö	010	14	13/20	24	31/12.5	13	17/12		
H A man have	3	315	5	6/12.5	5	4/9.5	2	3/ 2.5	2	5 6		
G I INP	1 31	1 7 /22	24	-5/27	33	24/21	32	30/ 35	611	3508-		-
JAGA LOCAL	1 1	345		31	1	2/2	2	2/4	1	3/20		
Continue -	10	13/913		2014		10/1		1-2-1-		1		
a peeding		0		2		2			2	2		-
K Young prant	1 1				1	20	20	0	1	2		
E Mature plant	1 8	10	d	4	<u>R</u>	7	- 21	5	0	2		
M Decadeal plant	-	6		9	-					2		
N Overstressed plant	-	0		3					- 2	9		
O Normal plant form	-	92		11		4Q	0	2	- 5	6		
P Overvested plant		7		0	_	-			-	-		
V Cool season plant total		1. A.	1						-			
W Warm season plunt fotal			1000	1.000						-		
recipitation - past 4months/ 12 months	1.59		4.0	9.36		1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1	-			-	_	
nimal Months Use - past 6 months/ 12mos		1.1.1.1	1	1001201	100 A	1.00		1		1		
fumerical rating from Z score above pHL	42	200-00-00	1	.33	1.	09.	1.	52	1.	13		
pparent Trend - Up, Down, Stable			1					1.1				

PHOTO RECORD

EcoResults! Form M2

Location: R: Vende Allot most M. edb Trail, Pastere "Road Eraser"

Photo Ref. #: ER -2

Date/ Hour: 6-2-04 BBB am Camera/ Lense setting: South 190°

Legal Location:

Map Name:

Subject Description: General View of 1st Transectley



Photo Ref. #: E R - 2Date/ Hour: 6 - 2 - 0 4

Camera/ Lense setting:

Direction of photo: to East

Legal Location:

Map Name:

Subject Description: General Vicw of all' 3 Timberts on Meadow area



PHOTO RECORD

EcoResults! Forin M2

Location: Ris Varde Allotment Moddle Trails Pastare "Road Einster"

Photo Ref. #: E12-2

Date/ Hour: (- 2 -0 4

Camera/Lense setting: Camon EOSE km II -35 mm Direction of photo: To East

Legal Location:

Map Name:

Subject Description: Gully to North of Coal. Note it is silting in and gully about half filled now. Dam at Continis full.



Photo Ref. #: FR-2-

Date/ Hour:

Camera/ Lense setting:

Direction of photo:

Legal Location:

Map Name:

Subject Description: beneard View of mendances from road



PHOTO RECORD

EcoResults! Forin M2

Location: _ Rio Vande Allot ment, Middle Trails fasture "Read Ernser"

Photo Ref. #: E R - 2

Date/ Hour: 6-2-04 Sam Camera/ Lense setting: Canon EOSE Lant 35 mm Direction of photo:

South 1900

Legal Location:

Map Name:

Subject Description: Close up af 3×3' plat



Photo Ref. #: ER-2

Date/ Hour: 6-2-04

Camera/ Lense setting:

Direction of photo:

to East Looking lownetream Legal Location:

Map Name:

Subject Description: Photo of rock riffle dam now full of Sediment



nsect #	ER	- 2		Date:	6	- 2 -0	4	_ Exa	niner(s):	No	m Lois	_ T	1911
ation:	Mila	le Tru	Ir la	stare	-Ru	ad era.	rensite					Direc	tior
Chart Leave of gra	only live out dead izing anin	area of pla spaces ov nal use.	ants. Use er one in	solid lin hch diame	e to cha eter. Fo	art circur or shrugs	nference o chart outl	of basal a ine of par	rea of pere ts of crow	nnials at n that ov	t one inch erlap the p	above gr plot to the	ound e hei A
time	Ser		G	Ð		1	Ð	P	OT				P ^{ln}
	-	OT			-		7)	-	-	Ð			1
										Ø			
	7		6	-		1		-	1		1		1
	1				0	-	0	-			-	Ð	Ł
	0						B			Ð			
		Ø		1									1
	-	(C+		-		1	-	Ð	1/20		Ø		
			0					01	Ø	1.2.6			
	-	0	- (5		-		-	0	00	OF		1
									D	GT	DT		
				Q									T
	-						1	-				-	
					_	-		-	-		-		
	1.1						4.4			1		1	ŀ
							1	1	1				1
Angle Iron	L		-		-		1	br					1.
Sym	bol	Specie	s			# in.2	Symbol		Species			#	in.2
T	7260 54	14				33							
_					_				_			-	-
													-
5	shet b	mrh								_			

I ra. Dat	e:	6	.)	-0	4	-	10	10	0	Tim	e:	-2	115	×	113	5	-	E	xa	mì	ner	(s):		N	40.2	2	ho	iv	-							
Plot	Lo	oc.	Pe	oip	t C	ov	er	N	earest	Live	Pe	rei	nnia	al		S	beci	es l	list	- R	eco	rd E	Den	sity	asl	No. o	fpla	ints	in s	each	n ple	ot by	y sp	ecies	s	
T	H			_	T	Γ	П	E	Density	y		Ag	e	Fo	orm		C.					1					T									Γ
PLOT NUMBER	LOCATION ON TAP	POINT NOTE	Bare/with Recent Cap	Bare/with Mature Ca	KOCK/OVER 0.45	Basal hit	Canopy/ under 8	Specie	Distance (.5")	Diameter (.5")	Seedling	Young	Decadent	OverStressed	Normal	Tubosse	Three aron	Uni besser to	Side ant gran	Torchlen Tr							Par Fal	6 lob M. Nou	Prizkely Par	Suction	Hohrwook Dogen					Ann 0
5			•	1				T'	6.0	1.0		4			1	4		1	_											_			_	-		1-
1	0	-	1					T.	4.0	1.0		•			*	15											1								10	2
1	5				•			T	4.0	1.5					•	13																	_		-	1
2	0		1					7 !	2,5	1.0		,			1	2					12								-				_			1
2	5			-	1	1		T	2.5	1.0		1			1	8										_							_		-	1-
3	0		1	1	-	1		T	5.5	6.5			1		1	2	1										11		-				_	_	-	1
3	5	-	1	-	1	1		GM	12.0	2.4		1	1		•			_						_			1						-	-	-	-
4	0		1	-	-			G. War	2.4	1,2		1	-	Ц	*	-							_			_	1	2	-	_			-	-	-	1
4	5	Pin	·	+	-	-	Ц	T	6.0	La.		+	+	4	^			_	_	-	_	_	_	_		-	1		_	-	_		-	-	-	1
) 5	0	_	\square	+	+		\square	T	6	4.5	Ц	-	'		1	3		1					_			_	-	-					-	-	-	1.2
5	5	-	1	-	+		Ц	bin	4,4	2.0		1	-		1				2									4					_	_	-	1
2 6	0		1	4	+	-	\square	Gim	4.0	15	\square	4	_		1			-		_						-	-	2			_		_	_	-	+
6	5	1	1	4	+	1	\square	T	15.5	1.0	\square	1	+	Ц	•				_		_					-			-		_		-	-	-	1
+ 7	0	1-	1	4	+	-		Gm'	2.0	1.5		1	-		1													5					_		_	4
57	5		\square	+	+	1		T.	0	1.0		1	-		1	3		4					1			_			-	_		_	-	-	-	1
5 8	0		•	+	+			1.	2.5	1,0		4			•	3	_					1				+	-			_	_		-	-	-	4
7 8	5		1	+	+	-	H	TI	9.0	2,0		1			1	14		_	_		-		-			-	-	4	-	_	_		+	-	-	4
89	0	-	'	-	+			T	2.0	1.0		4			1	4	-	-			_	_	_	_		-	-			_			-	-	-	1
19	5	-	1	+	+	-	\square	7.	145	2.4					•			1	-			_	-			-	1	-	_		_		-	_	-	1
)	00	_	1	+	+	-	\square	+1	6.0	1.5	Н	*	+	\square	1	2	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_		-	-		-				-	-	-	+
	05		1	+	+	-	\square	1'	4.0	1.0	Н	1	+	H	1	5	_	-		_	_	_	_	_		_	-	1	-				-	-	-	14
2 1	10	1. c	1	+	+	-	Н	+.	5,0	1,0	\square	*	+		1	10	-	-	_		_		_	-		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	*
	15		ŀ	+	+	+	Н	7.	3.0	1.0	\square	+	-	-	1	10	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-		-	-	-	-				_	-	-	╀
	20	-	-	+	+	-	Н	1.	2.5	1.0	Н	-	+	H	*	4	-			-	-	_	_	_			-	-	-	-			-	-	-	+
	25	-	H	+	+	-	Н	<u> </u>	1.0	1,0	H	-	-	Н	V	9	-	-			_	_	-		\square	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	F
	30		1	+	+	+	H	<i>T</i> ·	5.5	1,0	\square	+	1		-	17	-	_				_	_	-		-	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	14
1	35		14	-	+	-	\square	T	2.5	10	Н	+	-	\square	-	8		_	_		in.	_		_		-	-		_				-	-	-	1
1	40		4	+	1	-	\square	Ta	15	15	Ц	-	1	\square	1	4	3	_		-	-			-		_							_	_		4
1	45		9	+	-		\square	T	2.0	2,0		-	4		1	6	2				Ξ.					-				1			_		_	1
1	50		1	+	-		4	5'	8.5	3,5		•	-		•							-					-						-	_	-	ŀ
1	55		•	+	-			H	3.5	2,4	Ц	1	-	11	1											-				1	1		_	-	-	1
1	60		3	+	-			T	1.5	3,0	Ц	-	'	\square	1	2	1		_	_				-									_	-	-	+
1	65		1	+	-	-		Ta	5.0	.5		*	-	Ц	1		6				_		_			_	11			-			-	-	-	1º
11	70	4		-	10			-			Ц	-	1	4	-	-										_				_			-	_	-	Ļ
	_	1	F	1	14	1			M75	55.5		2	2		3	128	13	6	2								3	23		2	1				-	L
1									4.47	1.68						22	5	3	1								3	6		2	1					
ec	ue	ney	(V	1)												11	15	9	1			1					9	18							1	T

e. þ

78:5 3.1 11-

Tre	anse	et t	200	rin	0.	C	LegH	200	7		St	art	10	min	. 1	4	bug	16	241	10	Place	40 3	(40) cn	n frame	on	=	ide	oftan	e.
Da	anse de	1	5-2		04	70	2 (10	Tin	ie.	5:	457	141	9.	12		E	xar	min	er	(s):	1/101	m	Low	ve	on E		icie	ormp	0.
Pla	otLo	DC.	Po	int (Co	ver	N	leares	t Live	Pe	erer	nia	1	1.4	Spe	ecie	es L	ist -	- Re	ecol	d Den	sity as	s No	. of	plants in	each	plot b	y spo	ecies	-
	6	1	T	11	T	T	I	Densit	у	T	Ag	e	Fo	rm	ÌÌ		-	1	1	1	- 12-1		T			TT	T			T
PLOT NUMBER	LOCATION on TAP	POINT NOTE	Bare/with Recent Cap	Rock/over 0.25"	Litter	Canopy/ under 8'	Specie	Distance (.5")	Diameter (.5")	Seedling	Young	Decadent	OverStressed	OverRested	Bhorra	Blue sugar	Vir Assert	Si have green	Sand Depend	Muklen	/			Heteranie	eis M. how	Sruk west				
1	5		11		_	•	B.	0	1,0		·		1	-	6	4	6		_	5			-	_	1				-	-
2	10		11		_	1	v.	0	3.0		1	-		*	3	_	10	_	_	_			-		2	-	-			+
3	15		4	\square	+	-	T.	2.0	1,5	1	1	-	4	•	2	_	2	_	_	3	_		-			+	-			-
4	20	-	++		•	-	T	3.0	1.5	\square	1	*	-	-	17	_	2	-	_	_	-	-	-		4	-	-	$\left \right $	++	+
5	25	-		+	•	+	V	1.0	1.5	$\left \right $	+	1	4	1	16	_	2	-	-	-			-	-	1	+	-	\vdash	+	+
7	30		++	+	1	+	6.m	3.0	1.5	$\left \right $	+		1	+	8	-	-	-	-	-			+	-	2	-		+	+	-
2	40	-	1	+	1	+	1.	2,0	1.0	\mathbb{H}	+	+	+	-	1	-	2	-	-	-	-		+	-		-			+	+
)	45	-	1	+	-	+	1.	1.5	1.5	+	-	-		+	1	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		+	-		+	+
10	50	-	H	+	-	+	4.	0.0	1 32	H	+	+		-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-		+	-		++	+		+	+
11	35	ñ .	H-	+	-	+	1	210	2.0	ť	+	+		+		-	-	-	-	-	-		+	-	-	++	+	+	++	ť
12	60	N.R.	H	H	-	-	r1	6.00	10		+	+	-	+	\vdash	-	-		-	-	-		-		-	++	-	$\left \right $	++	+
13	65	-	4	+	-	+	(may	2,0	10		+	+		+	\vdash	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-		++	-	H	++	+
14	70	-	Ħ	H	1	1	5.	0	3.0		,	-	+			-	1	2			-		+		-	11	-	\vdash		1
15	75	-		Ħ	1	-	(n'	120	10	H	÷	1			H		-	~		-			1		8	11				
16	80		x	Ħ	1	T	50	7:5	1.0	T	,	1		1							-		1		-	+				
17	85		•	T	1		7.	6.5	1,0		~	T		,							1									
18	90		t	Π			V'	23.0	10																					
19	95						T.	20.0	1,3					1																
20	100		4				T.	10.0	1.5	19	•			*				1								10.1				
21	105		1				5ª	2.5	1,0		1			•	ч			1												
22	110	8.0					T	0	1.0			'		4	4			i												
23	115		•				+	1.0	15		1	1		'	3															
24	120		*	\square			1.	1.5	15					'	1														\square	
25	125	-	"		-	_	1.	30	1,0	\square	ľ	1		-	3		_			_	_		-				_			4
26	130	-	1	11	-	-	7.	5.0	2,5		-				4					_	_		-		1					-
27	135	-	1		-	1	+.	0	1.5		1	1		1	2				_				-		4		_			_
28	140	_	1	11	•	-	T.	ıζ	1.5	1	-	1		*	3				_	1			-				_			-
29	145		1	\prod	-	-	T.	3.0	1.5	4	-	1	_	•	2	_		_	_	_			-				-		+	-
50	150	-	1	H	-	-	50	2.5	1.0	11	1	1	-	'	2		_		_	1			-		_	++	-		+	-
1	155	_	1	\parallel	-	+	T	7.0	1.0	4	-	1	-	1	4			_	_	_	-		-	-			-			-
12	160		1	+	+	+	1	5.5	2,2	\parallel	-	1	-	1	1	-	_	_	-	_	-		+	-		-	-			-
5	105		11	\parallel	+	-	T	6.0	2,0	H	-	1	- "	4	1	-	_	-	_	_	_		-	-			-		++	-
-124	4-40	_	2	+	1			1111		-	-	-		-	0		_	-	-	_			+	-		-	-	$\left \right $	+	-
11			27	\parallel	0	1	-	164.5	45	5	121	8	3	2	91	7	35	5	_	0	-		-	-	23	14	-	\square	+	-
л	1	-			1			4,90	1.30					L	22	1	7	4		4					(Ų	11	-			1

3

1.1 1.1.57 1.1.58 1.1.58 1.1.58

T			-1) -	2		i.	2	c '3	j	M	ON	TI	O	RI	NO	FD	A	ΓA	SE	IE	ET			1	11	173	4		E	coR	esul	ts!	Foi	m M	4
Transe	ect #	t t	-K		-	. L	eg#	2 0	-1	1	SI	art	PC		6	101	+ac	SE	01		Pla	ace	40	x	40	cm.	1 IN fr	/1 am	ie r	11	E	si -	de	of	tane	
Date		5ca	-4	24	-	-	100	CAVA	Tin	10'	9	30	f	45	L	-	F	iva	mi	ner	(9)		in	in	40	ve	ti.	an		лı _.	41	_ 51	uc	or	tap	~.
Plot Lo	nc.	IPo	int	C	ove	erl	N	eares	t Live	Pe	erer	nia	al	100	S	beci	es	List	- R	eco	rd [Den	sity	as	No.	of	la	nts	in e	eacl	n pla	ot by	y sp	eci	es	-
- W	T	T.	T	T	Π		Ľ	Densit	v	Γ	Ag	e	Fo	orm		Γ	1	Γ								T					Ĺ	T			T	T
PLOT NUMBER LOCATION on TAPI	POINT NOTE	Bare/with Recent Cap	Rock/over 0.25"	Litter	Basal hit	Canopy/ under 8	Specie	Distance (.5")	Diameter (.5")	Seedling	Young	Decadent	OverStressed	OverRested	Thrupum	Tobersa	the stame	Sater Nu Par 1	R. + Achla	Oin to Saute	Anton II	Side out green	Tralling Tu	Sad dapped			Swakund	Globe A llow	the Kunntani	Partie 1	to a		Junpan			
1 5				*			am	44.0	410		1			1												-	_		2	_		-1	_			-
2 10		1					Lm	13.0	1.5		٠			•														1					-			-
3 15		4					v ·	31.0	2.0		1						1															1				
4 20		1					V'	16,0	2.0		1			1		1																				1
5 25				1			v.	11.0	2.5				Π	•																						
6 30			T	8			50'	30.5	15	1			Π	•																					2	
7 35							M	14.5	1.0			1		1																						1
8 40		1				Π	17	27	2.0	Π	•		Π																				1			14
9 45	1.1						14	11.0	1.0		1																									L
10 50	6 m	T	T				14	12.5	6.5	Π			T																							
11 55	1	1	T		Π	T	17	380	1.4	T		1	Ħ	1		1		1	1																	
2 60		1.	T		Π	H	15	20.00	4.5	Ħ	1	1	tt	y				-					-			1		-	-							1
3 65	6.0		t	t	H	H	2F	281	141	H		+	Ħ	-	-		-	-		-	-	-	-			-	1	-		-				-		1
4 70	2.1		+	t	Η		23	34 0	20	H	1	+	H	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	+	+
5 75	-	<u>f</u>	+	+		+	20	5	1.5	Η		+	H	+	-		-	+	-	-	-	1	-		-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	1
16 80	-		+	+	H	-	7.0	55	1.5	H	-	+	H	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	1		1		-	-	-	-
7.85	-	+	+	+	Η	+	H	(1.) (1)	1. 5	+	+	+	H	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
12 00	-	1	+	+			11	410	105	Н	+	+	H	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	2	1	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	
10 05	-	+	+	+	Ĥ	-	2	0	6:0	+	4	-	H	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	2	_	_	μ	2	-	-		-	14
2995	-		+	-		-	T	31.0	4.0	\mathbb{H}	-	1	H	-		-		-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-			_		-	1
20 100		1	+	ŀ		-	1	15.0	20	Н	+	'	•	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_		-	-	-		-	-			-	-	_	1
21 105	1	ľ-	+	-		-	1.	18,5	2.0	\square	+	'	H	1	-	-		-	-	-	-		-	-	_	-	-	_		-			1		-	-
22 110	100	+	+	-		-	That.	25.0	15	Н	1	-	\square	•	-	12		-	-	-	-		-	-		-	-	_	_	-			1	14	2	+
3 115	-	++	+'	-		-	T.	5.0	3.0		-	*	H	*	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-		1			1
4 120	-	1.	+	-		-	7.	7.6	15	\parallel	1	+	H	1	-	C	-	-		-	-	-			-	-	_	_	-	-	1		4	-		-
5 125	-	++	+	•	4	-	52'	2.5	1.0	+	*	-	\parallel	•	-		-	-			-	2	-		-	-	_		_	-			-			6
26 130	-	11		-		-	50	60	15		'	_	\square	1						_		3	_						_				_			4
27 135		11	1				Gni	23.5	3.0		•		4	"						-					1					1						-
28 140		1					5'	17.0	2.0					*											2.1											
9 145	1						B	11.0	.5	Π				•			3																			1
80 150				•			54 .	2.0	1.0	Π	1		Π									12											1			
1 155			T		T		3.	5.0	1,0	П		T	T	-	1		1		1			-											1			4
2 160	Just		T	T		1	5.1	11.5	10	Ħ	,	T	T.	,	-	-	1																			-
3 165	L.W	H	1	H		1	1, 1	12 .	1-	Ħ	1,	1	H,	1	-		1						-			-		1								+
4 170	1.21	1	t	H	-	-	C1	7	112	H		1	$\left \right $	-		-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	2	-		-	-			-		+
1	-	h	1	8	1	+	1	2.5	71	1	1.	7	11	7	-	11	E	-	-	-	-	16	-		-	-	4	2	-	-	-		"	-	- 1	-
11		11	2	0	1	+		60 41	1.0	14	10	4	12	-	-	4	2	-	-	-	-	10	-		-	+	2	4	2	1	5	\vdash	4	-	-	-
/1	-	11	1			1		1.ml	2.09							2	3					4	_		_		2	2	2	1	3		4		_	_

16:55 79 112:12

Plot # - FR-	-Z Size of plot # -	Legal Descr	iption: T N.R	E, Sec	1/4 of the	e 1/-
GPS File: R	UTN	VI North	UTM South	Photo	o#s	
Directions to plot	120" E Stal 41	legs prevents left.	A higher Mainta	miller	T T to 1	1.02
Frames Ground Cover Hits	Transect 1 7x3/lot 0000000000 000000000 00000000000 000000000 0000000000 000000000 0000000000 000000000	Transect 2 45 1/2 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Transect 3 IID Ig 00000000000 000000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 00000000 000000000 000000000 000000000 000000000 0000000000 00000000000 00000000000000 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Transect 4 775/2 00000000000 0000000000 00000000000 000000000 00000000000 000000000 00000000000 000000000 00000000000 000000000 000000000000 000000000 000000000000 0000000000 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Total	70
Litter <1" eff	N N	F .	NA II	MIT	57	28.5
Bare Soil	RAM	"这五百日"	ABA:	RAX.	134	67
Gravel .25-3"						
Rock 3" F		1	*	1		-
Litter >1"		1				1
Wood	-					
Grass basal	1.		•		5	-
Forb basal	•		1		1	-
Tree/shrub						-
GRASS						
Tobossa	N N N N	XX XX XX	AND	Nº:	109	54.5
Bluesman	5 K	1	1	No D	22	11
Silocute		•	17.	1	11	5.5
FL. Square		1.1	1974		4	2
Sinddayed				•	1	15
Blackginn						1
Vinchesperte	i:	1.5.			4 *	2
Threeaven		•			1	.5
Mahly			*	1.1	3	1.5
/				11		-
TDEN						-
SHRUB					1.11.11	
Thank	:		Rei		21	10.1
Sect 1	1		1	181.	114	10.5
share way				124	1 m	1
Prote Re-	1					-
V			,		1	5
fund hours		-		1		.,
- and and						•>
						-
FORBS		;				
Hatter	1	1				-
land site	1		İ			1.5
Loco	-			N.	2	1
111 Mill	05.11	Nd 1	17	14	2	11-
P. SI	1	1		*) L.	35	17.5
ולחדתן		· ·		Ti .	16	- S
					-	
Annual Grass						-
a announ satuaa						1

C:\Program Files\America Online 7.0\download\Trend_plant_frequency.rtf

1 1

19