

INTERIM MANAGEMENT PLAN

1978

LONG GULCH-TURRET PEAK ALLOTMENT

Verde Ranger District
Prescott National Forest

Prepared By: Lyle L. Hancock 6/22/78
Range Conservationist Date

Submitted By: William L. Russell Jr 7/3/78
District Ranger Date

Agreed to By: _____
Permittee Date

Reviewed By: JW Shuing 07-06-78
Range Staff Date

Approved By: Donald W. Bolander 7-12-78
Forest Supervisor Date

INTERIM MANAGEMENT PLAN

LONG GULCH-TURRET PEAK ALLOTMENT

Prescott National Forest
Verde Ranger District

INTRODUCTION

The goal of allotment management planning is to provide for livestock grazing and sustained forage yield, compatible with the multiple use concept on National Forest lands. Primary objectives are to improve range conditions while providing for the needs of the livestock and the allotment permittee.

Allotment Analyses for the Long Gulch and Turret Peak Allotments were approved in 1969. The permitted numbers on the Long Gulch Allotment were 116 head for six months and 150 head yearlong on the Turret Peak Allotment.

ALLOTMENT DESCRIPTION

The Long Gulch-Turret Peak Allotment is currently separated into three primary units: Long Gulch, and the East and West Units of Turret Peak.

Upon combination of the herds (as proposed) the Allotment will be managed as a yearlong cow-calf operation with a term permit for 200 head. Calving will be in the spring and calves will be sold in late fall. The fall pasture move will be correlated with the fall shipping dates.

The main problem inherent to this allotment is poor distribution of livestock due to lack of permanent water and rough topography. Conflict with other uses is primarily apparent in the eastern part of the East Unit, within the Pine Mountain Wilderness area. Allowable use in this area should be 30% of the desirable forage species. Poor distribution may result in low levels of allowable use over much of the East Unit.

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The long-range goal for this allotment would be to combine it with the Rice Peak-Robert's Mesa Allotment and establish a three-pasture, rest-rotation grazing system. This situation would be most beneficial

for the resource and would provide for a more economical ranching operation.

Under the present circumstances, the primary objectives are as follows:

- 1) Combine the two herds from Long Gulch and Turret Peak
- 2) Develop and implement a modified three-pasture, rest-rotation grazing system
- 3) Construct range improvements that provide for proper livestock distribution
- 4) Preserve the wilderness quality of the Pine Mountain Wilderness area
- 5) Increase forage production and provide for sustained forage yield
- 6) Increase calving percentages and calf weights.

MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

A modified three-pasture, rest-rotation grazing system is proposed. All 200 head will graze one of the Turret Peak units from March through October, when they will move into the Long Gulch Unit for four months. Then, in March, they will all move into the other Turret Peak unit (see attached 2200-18).

Although this grazing system is somewhat primitive, it will provide for scheduled periods of rest that will allow forage species to regain vigor and increase production. Concentrating the entire herd in each pasture will facilitate livestock management and increase distribution.

DISTRIBUTION

Distribution of livestock is the main grazing management problem on the Allotment. As more permanent waters are developed, distribution will improve, but other means to improve distribution should be used at all times.

Salt and supplement will be placed at least one quarter mile from water, in areas of light use, where soils and vegetation are compatible with livestock use. Herding livestock into these areas will also improve distribution.

In the rugged terrain, stock trails may be helpful for improving distribution.

PROPOSED RANGE IMPROVEMENTS

Completion of proposed improvements will be planned commensurate with available finances, but those with highest priority will be completed first. Responsibility for project construction will be worked out on a project by project basis.

| <u>Structural Improvements</u> | <u>Location</u> |
|--|----------------------------|
| Stock tank | NW 1/4, Sec. 26, T11N, R4E |
| Stock tank | SE 1/4, Sec. 28, T11N, R4E |
| Stock tank | NE 1/4, Sec. 35, T11N, R4E |
| Stock tank | SE 1/4, Sec. 29, T11N, R4E |
| Trick tank | SE 1/4, Sec. 26, T11N, R4E |
| IM Spring development | NW 1/4, Sec. 6, T11N, R4E |
| Masonry dam (Tonto H.F.) | NE 1/4, Sec. 3, T10N, R4E |
| Salt or bentonite Buck Basin Tank (<i>Should be shown as maintenance</i>) | SW 1/4, Sec. 5, T10N, R5E |
| Stock trails (hand-cleared) | Wherever necessary |
| Pipeline from Grapevine Well | SE 1/4, Sec. 28, T11N, R4E |

MAINTENANCE OF RANGE IMPROVEMENTS

All range improvements must be maintained regularly and kept in effective working order by the permittee. Any reconstruction will be considered for cooperative development as funds become available. See attached form 2200-5 and the map for maintenance responsibility.

Maintenance of water developments is crucial to the success of this management plan. All waters should be usable at all times, even when livestock are absent from a pasture.

INSPECTIONS AND FOLLOW-UP ACTION

Periodic inspections will take place to evaluate compliance with the management plan. After at least two years, a Production-Utilization Survey will be initiated to evaluate the success of the plan. An Allotment Analysis is scheduled for 1983, and the Interim Allotment Management Plan will be updated.

This management plan is designed to be flexible in order to compensate for special problems. Any changes must be approved by the District Ranger in advance, with minor adjustments to be included in the Annual Permittee Instructions. Major changes will call for a revision of the entire plan.

This is an interim management plan and is therefore only a step towards quality management on this allotment. If the resource is being damaged through over use, a reduction in stocking may be necessary. Even the best management system cannot improve an overstocked range.

The use of the Long Gulch Unit for four winter months by 200 head may prove to be unreasonable. Use in this unit should be monitored closely to make sure the resource is not being damaged and that cattle remain thrifty. This unit should provide the most flexible link in the grazing system.

| | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|
| USDA-FOREST SERVICE GRAZING SYSTEM MANAGEMENT UNIT ALLOCATIONS | REGION 3 | FOREST Prescott |
| | DISTRICT Verde | DATE PREPARED 6/78 |
| ALLOTMENT Long Gulch-Turret Peak | PERMITTEE Bruce Merrill Mgr. Dwight Bilyk | |

LEGEND:

| | |
|---|--------------------------|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Long Gulch | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> West Unit - Turret Peak | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> East Unit - Turret Peak | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| MANAGEMENT UNIT | MONTH | | | | | | | | | | | | NOTES |
|----------------------------|-------|------|------|------|-----|------|------|------|-------|------|------|------|-------|
| | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June | July | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. | Nov. | Dec. | |
| First Year - 19 78 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Long Gulch | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| West | | | | | | | | | | | | | Rest |
| East | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Second Year - 19 79 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Long Gulch | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| West | | | | | | | | | | | | | Rest |
| East | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Third Year - 19 80 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Long Gulch | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| West | | | | | | | | | | | | | Rest |
| East | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fourth Year - 19 81 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Long Gulch | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| West | | | | | | | | | | | | | Rest |
| East | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fifth Year - 19 82 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Long Gulch | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| West | | | | | | | | | | | | | Rest |
| East | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

REMARKS: