1	Performance measures:		
2	Average yearly cost per juvenile in secure care		\$54,400
3			
4	Escapes from DJC secure care facilities		0
5	Juveniles passing the GED language test		85
6	Per cent of juveniles who show progress in		
7	their primary treatment problem area		80
8	Per cent of juveniles returned to custody		
9	within 12 months of release		22
10	Customer satisfaction rating for employee		
11	satisfaction (Scale 1-8)		6.0
12	Per cent of agency staff turnover		25
13	Administration as a per cent of total cost		8.5
14	The department shall provide a travel stipend to al		
15	juvenile correction complex staff whose residence is at	least	twenty miles
16	from work.		
17	Twenty-five per cent of land earnings and inter		
18	charitable, penal and reformatory institutions land fund		
19	to the department of juvenile corrections, in compliance		
20	the enabling act and with the constitution of Arizona,		used for the
21	support of state juvenile institutions and reformatories		
22	Following implementation of the travel stipend to	•	
23 24	study the relationship between the stipend, turnover and		
24 25	department shall provide a report to the joint legislati	ve buag	jet Committee
26	staff on its findings no later than September 15, 2002. Sec. 38. STATE LAND DEPARTMENT		
20 27	Sec. 30. STATE LAND DEPARTMENT		2002-03
28			2002-03
29	FTE positions		182.4
30	TIE postcions		102.4
31	Lump sum appropriation	\$	14,038,400
32	Lump Sum appropriation	Ψ	14,000,400
33	Natural resource conservation		
34	districts		490,000
35			150,000
36	Environmental education program		288,100
37	Fire suppression		1,000,000
38	Environmental county grants		125,000
39			
40	Total appropriation - state land department	\$	15,941,500
41			, ,
42	Fund sources:		
43	State general fund	\$	15,163,400
44			
45	Environmental special plate fund		778,100

38

39

1 2	Performance measures:	
3	Average land sales processing time	
4	(application to auction, in months)	14.9
5	(apprisation of data on, in mention)	
6	Average score on customer service survey	
7	(5=very satisfied)	3.7
8		
9	Per cent of fires controlled at 100 acres	
10	or less	95
11		
12	Per cent of agency staff turnover	12.0
13		16.4
14	Administration as a per cent of total cost	16.4
15 16	The appropriation includes \$1,221,300 for central Arizona proj	aat usan
17	fees in fiscal year 2002-2003. For every dollar above \$257,000 rece	
18	reimbursement to the state in fiscal year 2002-2003, from cities that	
19	their allocation of central Arizona project water for past central	
20	water conservation district payments, one dollar reverts to the state	
21	fund in the year that the reimbursement is collected.	30o. u.
22	Of the amount appropriated for the environmental education pro	ogram in
23	fiscal year 2002-2003, \$5,000 shall be distributed to each natural i	
24	conservation district with an established environmental education co	enter as
25	required by section 37-1015, subsection B, Arizona Revised Statutes	, and at
26	least \$100,000 shall be used for environmental education grants as p	orovided
27	by section 41-2252, subsections A and B, Arizona Revised Statut	
28	legislation to extend the advisory council on environmental education	-
29	July 1, 2002 is not enacted in the second regular session of the fort	
30	legislature, \$100,000 shall lapse to the environmental special plate	
31	monies shall not be used for environmental education grants purs	uant to
<mark>32</mark> 33	section 41-2252, subsections A and B, Arizona Revised Statutes. Sec. 39. LAW ENFORCEMENT MERIT SYSTEM COUNCIL	
34	Sec. 39. LAW ENFURCEMENT MERTI STSTEM COUNCIL	2002-03
35		<u> </u>
36	FTE positions	1.0
27	1.12 0001010110	1.0

56,400

\$

- 80 -

Lump sum appropriation